

‘Call to RESET’ ep. 6 March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2021

**“Government de Facto”**

Full Text

Today is March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2021, ep. 6, “Government de Facto”

Let’s recap from the last episode and where we are today.

Last time in episode 5, we looked at “Legal Fiction”. It is number three of things we need to know and understand. This along with— “extraordinary Occasions” and “Public Safety Require It” from our Constitution, “In Times of War the Law is Silent” (#1), “The Law of Emergency” (#2), “Legal Fiction” (#3), and the Acts of President Abraham Lincoln between 1860-1863, form a mathematical axiom (something accepted as true)—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other!”***

Today, episode 6—

**“Government de Facto”**

We know and understand what “government” is, but what do the words “de facto” mean? A clue is “facto”. It sounds like the word fact. It does sound like this word and it should because, “fact” comes from “facto”. The words “de facto” are Latin.

According to the dictionary, “de facto” is used as an adverb and as an adjective and defined as follows.

***a. in fact; in reality: Although his title was prime minister, he was de facto [adjective], president of the country. They are forbidden from leaving the camp, thereby being de facto [adverb] in a state of detention.***

***b. actually existing, especially when without lawful authority (distinguished from de jure): He led efforts to reduce de facto [adjective] segregation in the city's public schools.***

And if you live in Australia [OZ-trail-yah] 🤔 it is used as a noun.

***c. a person who lives with someone in an intimate romantic relationship, but is not married to that person.*** 🤔

DICTIONARY.COM UNABRIDGED BASED ON THE RANDOM HOUSE UNABRIDGED DICTIONARY,  
© RANDOM HOUSE, INC. 2021

“De facto” was first recorded in 1595–1605. “de facto” is from Latin *dē factō* literally,

***“from the fact”***

DICTIONARY.COM UNABRIDGED BASED ON THE RANDOM HOUSE UNABRIDGED DICTIONARY,  
© RANDOM HOUSE, INC. 2021

The words “de jure” were mentioned under defining “de facto” so, let’s look these words up as well.

And again, according to the dictionary, it is used as an adverb and as an adjective and defined as follows.

***by right; according to law (distinguished from de facto).***

From Latin *dē jūrē*

DICTIONARY.COM UNABRIDGED BASED ON THE RANDOM HOUSE UNABRIDGED DICTIONARY,  
© RANDOM HOUSE, INC. 2021

From both these dictionary definitions, don’t you just love how they use “de jure” to distinguish it from “de facto” and vice versa (the other way around)— “de jure” to distinguish it from “de facto”?! That’s like trying to describe some unknown meat and saying it tastes like chicken or trying to describe the taste of a banana, without using the word banana to do it. 🤔

For our purposes here, “de jure”, “***from or according to law,***” let us use the words original law or pure law, from our Constitution of 1789. Have you ever heard it referred to as “the law of the land”? This is our republic, intended, ordained and authorized by us, by the way, to be—

***government de jure.***

The words from our Constitution would be, ordinary occasions. The opposite of those words and actually, the words written in our Constitution are, “***extraordinary Occasions.***’ Although our Constitution does not define what these “extraordinary Occasions” are, how long they are supposed to last or are allowed to, we do know that there is something extra or extra + ordinary about these Occasions.

We know that when these “extraordinary Occasions occur, it gives the President of the United States an “extra” power over and beyond ordinary occasions, to convene both houses of Congress.

We also know that in the absence of Congress, under “***extraordinary Occasions,***” the president can in their stead, do other things such as: call up the military - suspend normal or “ordinary” civil law - repel an invasion – suppress insurrections - and in cases of Rebellion or invasion, suspend the Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus if—

***“...the public Safety may require it.”***

3

Therefore, extraordinary Occasions is equal to “public Safety may require it” and these two together, are a mathematical axiom (accepted as true)—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

President Abraham Lincoln in signing the Conscription Act and the Lieber Code (both in 1863), took over the states and put them into districts and took over all the people of all states by military engagement and occupation, continued the axiom (accepted as true) that —

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

Having never canceled either the Conscription Act (fully, only the draft portion), or the Lieber Code at all, it was as if the Civil War had never ended and, “In Times of War, the Law is Silent,” the axiom (accepted as true), continued that—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

In 1871, the Congress passing and President Ulysses S. Grant signing into Law, the Organic Act incorporated Washington D.C. as a municipal corporation. The “*districts*,” still under military control by the Conscription Act of 1863, made each state a “district state” or satellites of Washington, The District of Columbia (D.C.). These actions continued the mathematical axiom (accepted as being true) that—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

In 1917 ‘Dealing with the Enemy Act (Law), excluded U.S. citizens. In 1933, along with The Emergency Banking Act, the president revised the ‘Dealing with the Enemy Act’ to include, citizens of the U.S. as “enemy combatants” or enemies of the state. All gold was confiscated making it illegal to own much gold at all, and replaced the gold and silver standard to pay all debts public or private, with Federal Reserve Notes.



3

In 1933, Congressman Beck had this to say about the State of Emergency—

***“I think of all the damnable heresies that have ever been suggested in connection with the Constitution, the doctrine of emergency is the worst. It means that when Congress declares an emergency there is no Constitution. This means its death....But the Constitution of the United States, as a restraining influence in keeping the federal government within the carefully prescribed channels of power, is moribund [at the point of death], if not dead. We are witnessing its death-agonies, for when this bill becomes a law, if unhappily it becomes law, there is no longer any workable Constitution to keep the Congress within the limits of its constitutional powers.”***

Congressman James Beck in Congressional Record 1933

These all continue the axiom (accepted as being true) that—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

In 1973, Congress passed and the President signed into Law, ‘The War Powers Act of 1973’. Its purpose states—

***“It is the purpose of this joint resolution to fulfill the intent of the framers of the Constitution of the United States and insure that the collective judgement of both the Congress and the President will apply to the introduction of United States Armed Forces into hostilities, or into situations where imminent involvement in hostilities is clearly indicate by the circumstances, and to the continued use of such forces in hostilities or in such situations.”***

SEC. 2. (a)

Excerpt from: ‘The War Powers Act of 1973’

But this is what the senate reported in 1973—

***“Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency....Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens. A majority of the people of the United States have lived all of their lives under emergency rule. For 40 years, [now 88] freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the Constitution have, in varying degrees, been abridged by laws brought into force by***

***states of national emergency....from, at least, the Civil War in important ways shaped the present phenomenon of a permanent state of national emergency.***

Senate Report, 93rd Congress, November 19, 1973

And this Law granted the president in essence, a blank check (in advance of all the future), pretty much whatever the president deemed necessary, and made permanent, ‘The Law of Emergency! And these things continue the axiom (accepted as true) —

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

In legal terms, this mirror government, this extraordinary government, this adopted government is called, “Legal Fiction.” In Latin terms we have the one government “de jure” (according to law), and the other is called, “de facto” (in fact; in reality). Common sense and science tell us that no two solids can occupy the same space at the same time. These two governments cannot co-exist. They cannot just get along. Neither can be rehabilitated or changed. We cannot by political correctness or tender love and compassion, change either one. It is like the fictional characters of Harry Potter and Lord Voldemort. Neither can continue to live, while the other remains alive. One must be eliminated. We need to RESET.

***“Government de facto” (present reality), cannot exist without the government de jure (the truth, according to law, pure law). Government de jure does not need the government de facto! Government de facto is a mirror, a reflection, it is not the original. It is parasitic in nature. It is corrupt and can do nothing but corrupt.”***

Excerpts from: ‘RESET “An UN-aliens Guide to Resetting Our Republic” By Dahni © 2012

***“If the Constitution is the Law of the Land, government de jure (pure), it has virtually been overthrown by the U.S. Code which is government de facto (in fact, in the present or in reality). Every six years it will be published again by the U.S. Congress, renewing the Law of Emergency to a continuously and a continual, perpetual or a permanent state.”***

Excerpts from: ‘RESET “An UN-aliens Guide to Resetting Our Republic” By Dahni © 2012

Have you ever heard the following—

***“Power corrupts,” “absolute power corrupts absolutely!”***

Do we know who said those words, why and when?

John Emerich Edward Dalberg Acton (aka) wrote those words. He was also known as Baron Acton or Lord Acton (b 1834– d 1902). He was an English historian and moralist. Dalberg took great interest in the United States and its structure, for protecting individual liberties. During the War Between the States, he sided specifically with the Confederacy, for their defense of State’s rights.

In 1870 Pope Pius IX promulgated the Roman Catholic dogma of papal infallibility. In Roman Catholic history, the order of importance in descending order is—

1. Ex cathedra— a Latin phrase, meaning not "from the cathedral," but "from the chair." It is the chair (or throne of the Pope (papa, father, a supposed head of the church through apostolic succession from Peter, they believe was the First pope of Rome. This belief promoted as papal infallibility (as the representative of Christ on earth), has preeminence above all else, when the Pope, speaking in his position from the chair or ex cathedra.
2. Church History
3. And then the scriptures of the Bible, take a lowly third position, when according to the Bible, God says of his Word—

***“..for thou [God] hast [has] magnified thy [His] word  
above all thy [His] name.”***

Psalm 138.2b King James Version (KJV)

From God’s perspective, He did not just set His word above His name, He magnified it. “Above all His name” means, all that God has done, is doing or will ever do! This includes ALL His works!

In response to papal infallibility (not subject to being wrong or challenged), Lord Acton went to Rome with all his influence to try and stop it. Let me stop right there!

As it used to be said about a particular product to rid the air of odors, “This is a good place for a stick-up!’ Papal infallibility? This reminds me of our own U.S. Supreme Court. I have not, to date, been able to source where it is written that the courts have this particular power, but it is quite apparent that they perceive that they do. Anyone can find the following words, in reference to the U.S. Supreme Court—

***“The decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court are final  
and cannot be appealed.”***

Just so you know, I am not singling out the Pope, any religion, any person or any body of people, but rather I am trying to illustrate how pure law (government de jure), unchecked, unchallenged and allowed to continue beyond its limits, become government de facto (a present reality).

In a letter dated April 1887, John Emerich Edward Dalberg Acton wrote the scholar and ecclesiastic Mandell Creighton—

***“I cannot accept your canon that we are to judge Pope and King unlike other men  
with a favourable (sp.) presumption that they did no wrong. If there is any  
presumption, it is the other way, against the holders of power, increasing as the power  
increases. Historic responsibility has to make up for the want of legal responsibility.  
Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.”***

But he did not stop there—

***“Great men are almost always bad men, even when they exercise influence and not authority: still more when you super-add the tendency or certainty of corruption by full authority. There is no worse heresy than the fact that the office sanctifies the holder of it.”***

John Emerich Edward Dalberg Acton

Intentional or not, Papal authority, their representation of Christ on earth, papal infallibility and apostolic succession, is based entirely upon a verse of scripture from the Bible—

***“And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it.”***

Matthew 16:18 King James Version (KJV)

There are several things not clear about this verse, which should be and are from the Greek translations, prior to it being translated into English. This verse in context is a conversation between Jesus Christ and the Apostle Peter.

From the Greek, the word “Peter” is the word ***“petros”*** [pay + trō + s] “a small moveable stone.” But the word “rock” is the Greek word ***“petra”*** [pay-trah] an “unmovable rock.” Jesus Christ said to Peter, you are like a little grain of sand that moves about with every wind that blows. He then says upon this unmovable rock, (he points to himself and says), “I will build my church.” Then this verse fits perfectly, and it is simple and clear to understand. If you follow the history of Peter in the Bible, he was hot one day and cold the next. After saying he would follow his Lord, twice, he denied him thrice or 2 X 3 = 6 times. Even after witnessing the resurrection of Jesus Christ, what did Peter do? He went back to his old ways, his old habits and Jesus Christ found him trying to fish again. Clearly Peter was like a little stone, in and out and constantly changing his mind. With no disrespect intended to either the Roman Catholic Church, the Pope, any Roman Catholic, or Peter, the fallibility of all people is clear. This is what John Emerich Edward Dalberg Acton was saying when he wrote, ***“Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.”***

No, this is not a religious discussion. I don’t care what you believe, you are entitled to your opinion. But when I started my research on our Republic in 2009, and wrote much of it in my book published in 2012, the same still applies to you and I today. In the beginning of these podcasts, the same three things which often divide us (politics, religion and history), I’ve seen them unite people, when they look at what they have in common, instead of what divides us.

In spite of not having the benefit of the ages of information and technology, our founders and in fact, most people were liberally well informed in a time when a liberal education meant to approach, liberty. Initially the word liberal (Latin ***liberalis*** [leh-bral-is] in the 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> centuries was a term of reproach meaning, "free from restraint in speech or action." Like that was a bad thing? The Age of Enlightenment revived it in a positive sense, ***“free from prejudice, tolerant, not bigoted or narrow,”*** which emerged in 1776 until about 1788.

Our founders were people with pride and prejudices, faults and failures, but they also understood that people have great potential if allowed to become all that they can be. And they understood along with history, politics and religion, people have these two conflicting and warring natures within each of us.

They knew and understood from their own mother land of England (Great Britain), and the Bible that taking and swearing oaths (jurisdiction = speaking and taking an oath), leads to “evil.” They made an exception and allowed that an oath be taken to a thing, the Constitution of the United States for America.

They knew and understood that people seeking power and control would justify their ends by their means to accomplish things. This is akin to telling how many lies to cover for the initial one? This is what private interpretation is. Back to the Bible again we go.

***“Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the scripture  
is of any private interpretation.”***

II Peter 1:20 King James Version (KJV)

Well that’s revealing. If by chance this verse is one verse of God’s Word and it is the truth, no prophecy (whatever is foretold or declared forth), of the scripture (one for all the scriptures), is either God’s Word, all of it or none of it is. But even if it was just all made up, it is still useful. The word “private” is the Greek word ***“idios”*** from which we get our word idiot. But an idiot means, “one’s own.” The word “interpretation” in the vernacular was used as turning loose a dog on the game or it basically means, using your voice without reference to the words spoken. In other words, it is a running off of the mind and the mouth. 🤪

I do not know or care if our founders were Christians or even believed in God, but they understood these things. They knew people have these twin natures and they purposefully separated the government into three equal branches, to limit them from taking all power and control over the people, they are under oath to serve, protect and defend. They limited the words and the authority they would have and limited their authority to execute. And they knew there would be extraordinary occasions and made room for them but, only limited them until the occasions could be returned to, ordinary occasions.

The Constitution has 4,543 words including the signatories. The U.S. Code contains 53 titles and just one that affects all of us is, The IRS Code.

***"The income tax code and its associated regulations contain almost 5.6 million words  
-- seven times as many words as the Bible. Taxpayers now spend about 5.4 billion  
hours a year trying to comply with 2,500 pages of tax laws...."***

U.S. Representative J.C. Watts, Jr.



Who has time for 4,543 words when there are enough words in the U.S. Code to fill a library and reach around the world, with one loophole after another, one interpretation of the law, one continual and continuous government de facto!

People are corrupt and corruptible and are a corrupting influence to others. It's our nature.

Through time, this government de facto has become a mindset, a system, and a corrupt system, "Legal Fiction" because; we have never returned to ordinary occasions.

Acts between 1860-1863 are equal to the actions taken since and the actions (or reactions), to this day, time an hour of 2021.

These are equal to the causes and the causes are equal to its effects, which affect each of us still today. And these are a mathematical axiom (accepted as being true), that—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

All of the words we have been considering are all related to each other and they are considered to be a mathematical axiom as such—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other.”***

A mathematical axiom is a proposition that is not proven or demonstrated, but considered to be either self-evident, or subject to necessary decision. In other words, an axiom is a logical statement that is assumed to be true. Therefore, its truth is taken for granted, and serves as a starting point for deducing and inferring other (theory dependent) truths. An example would be If  $A=B$  and  $B=C$  then  $A=C$ . This axiom is attributed to Euclid. Euclid lived around 300 BC and was a Greek mathematician, often referred to as— “The Father of Geometry.”

As to Acts and Actions and Cause and Effect, Acts and Cause is something that makes something else happen, out of two or more events. Acts and Cause are the events, which happen first. One Act or Cause is government de jure, which has become inactive or suspended. By sheer logic, if something is suspended or is inactive, something else must be in operation or active. When government de jure is suspended or inactive, government de facto is in operation or active and it is the present reality.

Because Abraham Lincoln invoked “extraordinary Occasions,” in the absence of Congress he deployed the military, and suspended the Writ of Habeas Corpus (took over the courts and civil law). He set the states into “districts” under military command (took over the states), and signed the Liber Code (rules of engagement and occupation), and thus took over all the states and its people. All of this was under “Public Safety Requires It” (National Emergency), to repel invasions and/or suppress insurrections. These Acts were the cause of suspending or making inactive, government de jure and made government de facto, the then present reality.

Since these things were never canceled by President Lincoln nor after his assassination in 1865, “In times of War the Law is Silent” remained, “The Law of Emergency” continued, and all AS IF (Legal Fiction”), The American Civil War were still being waged and government de facto was still active.

Government has bound itself and us, WE the People. It has done so by laws, rules, statutes, precedents, opinions, decisions, regulations, proclamations and executive orders. All of these, AS IF (“Legal Fiction”), we were still in “Times of War, the Law (pure law/ordinary law) is Silent.”

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other!”***

All of these are AS IF (“Legal Fiction”), some national state of emergency (“The Law of Emergency”), perpetually and permanently exists. These things continue suspending and making inactive, government de jure (ordinary occasions), to continuous and continual extraordinary Occasions, under government de facto (our present reality). And these—

***“Things = to the same things are = to each other!”***

The American Civil War in reality ended in 1865. No more are there the Northern States (Union), Verses the Confederate States. But President after President, Congress after Congress, court after court, and state after state have continued to pass laws, ratify amendments, pass rules, statutes, regulations, proclamations, rulings, opinions, decisions, precedents, orders and policies, and to interpret and enforce them—

***AS IF THE CIVIL WAR NEVER ENDED!***

Following the end of the Conflict among the States, for the first time ever, former citizens were compelled to take oaths of allegiance to the federal government. Often these were individuals that had lived as citizens or had even been alive, in far more years than those who administered these oaths or forced the taking of oaths. Prior to this, no citizen was EVER required to take an oath. Oaths were only required for those seeking citizenship or those that actually worked for the government, including the military and law enforcement.

In revising “Dealing with the Enemy Act of 1917, in 1933, every person within the United States (foreign or domestic), became “enemy combatants.” This allows government to suspend all rights and seize all property and possessions, as it deems necessary.

In revising the ‘Dealing with the Enemy Act’ in 1933, it allows government and its agents (corporations’ etc.), to spy on, locate and capture all data and information from anyone it deems necessary, all under the name of national security (some national emergency. When and where by law, any country which cannot spy on its own citizens, an intelligence alliance (Five Eyes), comprising of Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States, will spy on citizens of the ‘other’ countries in exchange for their spying on the other countries in the alliance. This alliance which began during WW II has since expanded to ‘Nine Eyes’ to include: Denmark, France, the Netherlands and Norway. And still another, ‘Fourteen Eyes’, includes Belgium, Germany, Italy, Spain and Sweden.

Perhaps in some manner before 1803, the courts have used ‘Judicial Review’ to determine what is and what is not lawful.

But the landmark decision in 1803 of Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803), was a landmark U.S. Supreme Court case that established the principle of ‘judicial review’ in the United

States. This meant and means that American courts have the power to strike down laws, statutes, and some government actions that they find to violate the Constitution of the United States.

While it may sound appealing on its surface, 'Judicial Review empowers the Judiciary to interpret what is and what is not law, legal or constitutional and in effect, grants them unintended power to legislate (make law). In so doing, they have ceased from acting as a buffer of the People to the Legislative and Executive branches of government and as a limit to the overreach of the Legislative and the Executive branches, to each other and to us, WE the People.

In interpreting the Constitution's clause "good behavior", the Courts (Specifically the Supreme Court), have interpreted these words to mean that they can keep their jobs for Life? Does the Constitution say this? No it does not!

The Judiciary was never intended to have this power or to interpret the Constitution. Their sole responsibility was to insure that the laws were followed as is. Interpretation of law by the Supreme Court is basically as follows and follows the jurist's bent or bias about the Constitution: originalists or progressives. An original-ist, rather than reading what is written, reads what is written about it and then interprets it, according to what they believe was the original intent. A progressive interprets by what they see as the current trends and needs of society and that the Constitution as written, applied to the times and to the people, in which they were written. Both are incorrect. Neither reads what is written. In fact, the last I checked, there is not a law school in the United States, which requires any basic understanding of the Constitution to be taught. There is only one college that I know of, which requires a basic understanding of the Constitution and our Republic form of government, for all students, no matter what their major is. And this same college, does not accept government or public funding, whatsoever and they never have.

Think about this. No law school requires any student to have a basic understanding of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution? And no legal bar requires anyone to be tested on their proficiency and understanding of The Declaration of Independence and the Constitution, to pass the examination to become a lawyer, in any of the several states, of The United States for America?

Graduates from law school, those passing their Bar Exams, Legal Clerks, staff and aides (un-elected), pore over laws, rules, regulations, and even procedures to write law, make decisions and opinions without the Constitution or its limits, looking for precedents, to create new precedents or to overturn them.

I call all of these mere loopholes, to serve the government, not the people in which they are under oath to serve.

The Constitution neither declares how many terms, either the President (Executive Branch), or the Congress (Legislative Branch), can serve. Although an Amendment to the Constitution limited the President (Executive Branch), to (2) four year terms, no such limitation exists which allows the Congress (Legislative Branch), to basically keep their jobs for life and even raise their own salaries and benefit packages that WE the People must pay for. Even their full-pensions are payable for life, even if only having served, for around 270 days of their first term in office.

The Commerce Clause of the Constitution has been interpreted that the government can regulate costs (instead of trade), among the several states and Native American Tribes.

Department after Department within the federal government allow it to seize property as it deems necessary as “public lands” and under imminent domain or regulate the states as with, the Department of Education. Department after Department continually and continuously enlarge the federal government making it, the largest employer among all the 50 states and its territories. Rather than government depending on us, WE the People, we are made to depend on the government, for privileges and mere subsistence as indebted and indentured slaves.

Once, both the two-party system claimed as their own, Thomas Jefferson as he wrote (inked the Declaration of Independence and started the Democratic-Republican Party. Then they divided. They are still divided and are still dividing us from them and from one another. Today, the Democrats claim as their role model, Franklin Delano Roosevelt for his “New Deal” and the Republicans claim Abraham Lincoln as theirs, for freedom and for freeing our black or darker skin brothers and sisters, friends and family among us. Both presidents set us on a course to our ruin, if not checked, intentionally or not.

What is the difference between intentional or unintentional? Do the effects and affects of a lie lesson, if it is intentional or not, NO. Some believe that there is no need for the presence of evil (spiritual forces at work), as our species of life is capable of such evil, all by our selves, by the very nature of our inherent corruption. Personally, I do not believe this.

I believe we are all hungry for truth and the pursuit of excellence, for our selves and others. But as it is said, give a dog a good name to live up to. Or just call it weak, worthless and stupid, but useful and see how far the dog goes or what you get.

We are taught that ours is a democracy. We used to be taught it was a Republic with some democratic processes. Even an original quote attributed to one of our founders, was misquoted and used the word “democracy” on a CBS 60 Minutes interview. On December 20, 1998 Mike Wallace interviewed Charleton Heston. Heston an actor made famous as Moses in the movie, ‘The Ten Commandments’, was at the time, spokesperson for the National Rifle Association (The NRA). Heston said this—

***“A democracy, if you can keep it.”***

Not only are “*democracy*” and “*republic*” different words, they mean two entirely different things.

***“The deliberations of the Constitutional Convention of 1787 were held in strict secrecy. Consequently, anxious citizens gathered outside Independence Hall when the proceedings ended in order to learn what had been produced behind closed doors. The answer was provided immediately. A Mrs. Powel of Philadelphia asked Benjamin Franklin, “Well, Doctor, what have we got, a republic or a monarchy?” With no hesitation whatsoever, Franklin responded, “A republic, if you can keep it.” This exchange was recorded by Constitution signer James McHenry in a diary entry that was later reproduced in the 1906 American Historical Review. “The word “republic”***

***comes from the Latin res publica — which means simply “the public thing(s),” or more simply “the law(s).” “Democracy,” on the other hand, is derived from the Greek words demos and kratein, which translates to “the people to rule.” Democracy, therefore, has always been synonymous with majority rule.”***

Excerpts from: ‘A Republic If You Can Keep It’ By John F. McManus , November 6, 2000, The New American

The Post Office, an idea developed by Benjamin Franklin and the distribution of information through a reliable network, was one way we won our independence from Great Britain. According to the Constitution, it was and still is a responsibility of government (Congress), to maintain it.

Instead, the U.S. Post Office has become a quasi-semi-private corporation with federal control and demands that it fund 100% of its pensions. What other corporation does this? Is there any wonder why it is bankrupt, understaffed and has antiquated equipment and worst of all, forced to compete with other carriers that do not have the same regulations and control?

How is it OK for our government to use social-security funds for anything, leaving it mostly insolvent and it teeters on bankruptcy as well? How is the money that both you and your employer (both forced to contribute), a privilege for anyone to collect or should ever be considered a retirement program?

How is it that you or I cannot gamble unless it is at an approved casino (online or in person), or unless the government operates it, but in the name of education or some other altruistic cause? Why is there little benefit to ‘The Cause’ while most of the money is spent, to administer it or fund the winnings (either about a 60% pay-out or some annuity, for 30-forty years if you live that long or can pass it on to your heirs)? ‘A Dollar and A Dream’ is a retirement program?

Why is it that government which produces nothing, makes nothing, never fixes anything, but always continues to spend our resources and that of our posterity and always continues to grow and expand?

Since the ‘Emergency Banking Act of 1933’ government can determine what is and what is legal payment for all debts public and private. Since the Sixteenth Amendment to the Constitution, all citizens are subject to income tax for all debts public and private and by signing an income tax return, we attest under penalties of perjury that the information is true.

This is called a tacit oath (a silent oath or an implied oath), that we are confirming that we each do indeed, work for the government and income tax is legal for the government to collect—

***“from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration.”***

All of these things and so much more is, AS IF Martial Law had never ceased. This is AS IF the federal government is a “sovereign nation,” still occupies the former “sovereign” states AS IF they were “district states.” This is AS IF this government de facto (present reality), is the government de jure (pure law). This is AS IF this government de facto with its U.S. Code has original jurisdiction (authority and control), and the “sovereign” right of supreme authority, power and control. This is AS

IF the military still occupies the former “sovereign states. This is AS IF military jurisdiction were the same as government jurisdiction.

This is AS IF a National Permanent State of Emergency exists and AS IF a National State of Emergency will always exist in the future. This is AS IF this is perpetual. All of this is “Legal Fiction!” But it is also, a premise that is FALSE!

It is false because, Our Republic is based entirely on limitations. It is false because “extraordinary occasions” is limited, until ordinary occasions can be RESET. It is a false premise because, “Legal Fictions — are not allowed to be carried further than the reasons which introduced them necessarily require.” The premise is false because, no such actual invader invaded all the several states in 1863, under the signed Lieber Code.

It is false because, no such invader now presently occupies the “several states.” The premise is false because, the Lieber Code was limited to the cancellation by the Commander and chief or by treaty of peace and neither have ever occurred. The premise is false because, the doctrine of perpetual military necessity and a perpetual National State of Emergency may only exist if it actually exists. It does not exist! The premise being the ‘whereas’ and shown to be false can only therefore, end in false conclusions.

But whereas we suppose that a sufficient number of states have ratified Amendments, WE ‘therefore’ conclude that these amendments are constitutional. ‘Whereas’ these assumptions, suppositions, premises and “Legal Fictions” have remained virtually undetected and uncorrected since 1861, they ‘therefore,’ continue.

What is up with the “whereas(s)” and the “therefore(s)”? Those two words are usually common in an affidavit. We will discover these things in some future episode or episodes.

Public servants locally, statewide and nationally, entering into their perspective offices are in essence taught, ‘whereas’ this was done in the past, ‘therefore’ this is how it is done in the present and is to be done in the future. Undetected and uncorrected, this is not only how it has been done in the past, is being done in the present and how it will be done in the future as well.

No Court of Law recognizes The Declaration of Independence as having any merit, sum, substance, standing or jurisdiction and relegates it to be, nothing more than a mere relic of history.

No Court of Law recognizes the Preamble to Our Constitution as having any merit, sum, substance, standing or jurisdiction and relegates it to be, nothing more than a mere relic of history. A federal court judge in rendering his decision, first reads the Dictionary definition of Unalienable Rights Verses Inalienable Rights. Rights which cannot be bought, sold, bartered, seized or taken (according to the dictionary definition), the same judge closes the book and contradicts what he just read by adding, ***“unless with your permission.”***

According to the dictionary, there is no difference between UN-alienable and IN-alienable rights. Thomas Jefferson knew both words as he wrote into the Declaration of Independence in 1776. In fact, there was a draft, which still exists, where he used the word IN-alienable. The final work published in 1776, used the word UN-alienable, but only because, it was more in common use during those times.

But today, courts and corporations (corporate law), only recognize, IN-alienable rights. Is it not apparent to you that there is a distinction between these words in the Judicial and Corporate Systems?

What rights do you and I perceive, think or believe we have? We have no rights! We have only privileges (if we are so fortunate to have them). In fact, no court is required to protect our rights. No solicitor (attorney), can defend them. It must be claimed by the person charged and is considered a—“fighting clause” and the person claiming their rights must be in contempt of court, for the court to protect them. And we are required in every court of law (and by tacit oath in filling out our income tax fillings), under penalty of perjuries (multiple perjuries), to swear an oath or make an affirmation that the things we say and do are true.

This is nothing more than a perjury trap to a citizen of these, the United States for America. Our founders and our founding documents never required any citizen to take or to make any oath. This was only required of anyone that actually worked or works for the government and their oaths were and are to a thing, The Constitution of the United States for America.

There is no crime without an action. Committing perjury (lying while under oath), is an “action” and perjury is a crime. If you or I attest by oath that you or that I am innocent and are found guilty, you or I are also, guilty of multiple or plural perjuries. If found guilty, our testimony would be found to be false (perjury). And our original oath, attesting our innocence, would be also false and another perjury. In other words we lied when we made an oath and we lied again by our testimony, when proven that we are guilty of the crime (an action), we were charged with.

Thousands of years ago, Jesus Christ had this to say about oaths—

***“Again, ye have heard that it hath [has] been said by them of old time, Thou [You] shalt not forswear thyself, [yourself] but shalt [shall] perform unto the Lord thine [your] oaths: But I say unto you, Swear not at all; neither by heaven; for it is God's throne: Nor by the earth; for it is his footstool: neither by Jerusalem; for it is the city of the great King. Neither shalt [shall] thou swear by thy head, because thou canst not [cannot] make one hair white or black. But let your communication be, Yea, yea; [yes, yes] Nay, nay [no, no]: for whatsoever is more than these cometh [comes] of evil.”***

Matthew 5:33-37 King James Version (KJV)

By the way, the origin and the history of the word jurisdiction means, “spoken oath” or to “speak an oath.” And a tacit oath is an implied oath as if it were spoken. Our founders, knowing the history of Great Britain and the history of oaths and yes, even the words spoken by Jesus Christ, made an exception. Instead of swearing to God, the earth or any person, the oath they wrote into the Constitution required for anyone actually working for the government to make an oath to a thing, The “thing” is, The Constitution of the United States for America. Being found guilty (some action), of the law of the land, they would also be guilty of multiple perjuries (lying in making the oath and lying by breaking their oath).

The idea that any citizen being required to stand, raise their right hand and/or place their hand on the Bible (or some other book if they are affirming (making an affirmation), swearing or affirming to tell

the truth, so help them God (or some other if affirmed), is false! And this was not intended by our founders or intended by our founding documents constituting government de jure (the pure law or original law), for any citizen!

Licenses and permits and taxes and revenue raising activities are all government de facto know, understand and do.

Government de facto is a mindset, a system, a corrupt system of unintended and unlimited power—

***“Power corrupts. Absolute power corrupts absolutely.”***

So here is a recap to where we are thus far. Everything to this point has proceeded from the U.S. Constitution of 1789, government de jure or pure law; the original law.

“Extraordinary Occasions” is equal to “The Public Safety Require it”. “The Public Safety Require it” is, equal to the suspension of “the Writ of Habeas Corpus” which suspends civil law by military control, to “repel invasions and suppress insurrections. Suspension of civil law is equal to The Conscription Act of 1863 (setting former states into “districts” and to enforce this law by military control.

The Conscription Act of 1863 is equal to The Lieber Code of 1863 (rules of engagement and occupation by the military), to repel invasions and suppress insurrections. The Lieber Code, having never been canceled by the commander and chief (the President), or by peace treaty, continued after the assassination of President Abraham Lincoln and this is equal to, “In Times of War, the Law is Silent.” In Times of War the Law is Silent” is, equal to The Law of Emergency. The Law of Emergency is equal to “Legal Fiction.” Legal Fiction is equal to, “government de facto (in reality or in present; active), suspends government de jure, rendering it inactive. And all of these together form the mathematical axiom (accepted as true)—

***“Things = to the Same Things are = to Each Other!”***

From 1860-1863, those were the Acts. Everything, which followed the Acts were and are Actions. Another way of stating this is, Cause and Effect. For every cause there is an effect or effects. Acts are equal to Actions, which are equal to Cause, which is equal to Effects. And these form a mathematical axiom (accepted as true)—

***“Things = to the Same Things are = to Each Other!”***

If all of this seems both complicated and confusing it should. That’s exactly how I felt when discovering all of these things. If this is overwhelming to you, I can understand that and relate to you, as I was once overwhelmed by all of it! Intentional or not, the consequences are just as real, AS IF they were, all, intentional.

I am not here to tell you or anyone that there was some grand scheme or conspiracy to take over the government and in essence, to defraud all of us and make us servants (slaves). I have no research or intentions to prove or to blame anyone for this mess. But I am here to say, this all continues. It is de



facto. It is just the way it is, in our present reality. Intentional or not, this continues as a corrupt and corrupting system; a mindset as to this is, just the way it is. I am here to say it does not have to stay this way. There is a better way and the “better way” is, the only way. We need to RESET!

Government de facto has so bound itself and us, I do not believe the government could if they would, RESET this, Our Republic. It’s a mess. I did not make this mess and neither did you. But our ignorance of it and what to do about it allows it to continue. I was once ignorant.

You will not and cannot find these things being taught in any school from pre-K through High school. No college or university teaches these things.

And there is no law school that teaches them either or requires a single course on Our Constitution, that I am aware of, as of this date, 3.29.2021. But these things are all publicly available, for anyone to research for himself or herself.

These are not my opinions. They are not my theories. It is not another conspiracy. I did not make these things up, for whatever reason or reasons you or anyone might think or believe.

I do not care if you are a Christian, an Atheist or hold to some other beliefs about life beyond our five senses or life after death. I do not care if you are a Democrat, a Republican or hold to some other political party or persuasion. I don’t care if you are rich, poor or somewhere in between. I don’t care what sex you are, your age, the color of your skin, the languages you know and can speak and write. I don’t care where you come from. I don’t care how successful, unsuccessful, popular or unpopular you are. All of those and more, represent our differences. I care about what we all have in common and in a simply stated and all-inclusive way, these are— Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness. To these, by these and for those, WE should be united!

And beyond this, I care that you are a citizen of one of these 50 states, in the United States for America. I care that you can read, write and understand English. If you cannot, reach out to someone that is willing and able to teach you English. And if you that can read and write and understand English, reach out to someone you know that cannot.

Either teach them yourself or lead them to someone that is willing and able, to teach them. We must all learn to let go of what we have heard, and read and understand for ourselves.

There is no political party, President, Congress, Supreme Court, no amount of money, social media, mainstream media or public education which can RESET our Republic. A convention of States Convened, cannot and will not RESET Our Republic. Anarchy (lawlessness), cannot RESET our Republic. Term Limits cannot RESET our Republic. Term limits are already implied in our original Constitution, which is intentional, is limiting and restricting government. No amount of peaceable protest or civil unrest can RESET our Republic. No military coup or overthrow of the government can RESET our Republic. That is akin or like saying, the military, which is already engaging and occupying government de facto, would be used to establish government de facto. Some revolution cannot RESET our Republic.

***“Those who make peaceful revolution impossible  
will make violent revolution inevitable.”***

President John F. Kennedy

[Remarks on the first anniversary of the Alliance for Progress, 13 March 1962]

With all due respect to President Kennedy, I am not calling for or suggesting ANY kind of revolution (peaceful or violent). In fact, I do not even use the word. I am talking about and referring to a, RESET of Our Republic without bullets and guns, but with ballots and affidavits.

This RESET is solely the right and the responsibility of WE the People. It is the ONLY WAY! WE need to understand whom we are and HOW to RESET! We will be looking to these things to discover them, in future episodes. But for the present and in preparation of the next episode, I highly recommend each of us, either get access to an unabridged dictionary or purchase one (a real paper and inked one or a digital copy). We need to all be on the same page so to speak and on the same pages.

An unabridged dictionary is useful in that it traces the origin of words, not their current or politically correct usage.

This episode is much more detailed in writing than I have presented on the podcast. I view it as being extremely important and will make it available online, as a PDF file. It may become part of my book in progress— ‘Apple of Gold in a Picture of Silver’. It is intended to be a sequel to my original work— RESET (An UN-alien’s Guide to Resetting Our Republic).’ For more information see:

***ResetBook.com***

Remember these—

***“Those that can give up essential liberty to gain a little temporary safety deserve  
neither liberty nor safety.”***

-Benjamin Franklin-

***“It will be of little avail to the people that the laws are made by men of their own  
choice, if the laws be so voluminous that they cannot be read, or so incoherent that  
they cannot be understood; if they be repealed or revised before they are promulgated,  
or undergo such incessant changes that no man who knows what the law is today can  
guess what it will be tomorrow.”***

-James Madison-

***‘I read my eyes out. I can’t read half enough. The more one reads  
the more one sees we have to read.’***

-John Adams-

***“A well informed citizenry is the best defense against tyranny.”***

-Thomas Jefferson-

Next time—

***“How Much Does Your Free Cost?”***

Until we meet again, have a wonderful day!

Dahni

1 of WE



‘Call to RESET’ ep. 6 March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2021 “Government de Facto”  
By Dahni © 2021, all rights reserved

## How to reach me and/or follow my podcasts— ‘Call to RESET’

Facebook — Dahni Hayden: <https://www.facebook.com/dahni.oneofwe>

Twitter (Reset Book): <https://twitter.com/ResetBook>

Twitter (Dahni): <https://twitter.com/Dahni1>

Website- ResetBook.com: <https://resetbook.com>

Website page for podcasts (information): <https://resetbook.com/Podcasts.htm>

Website page for podcasts (archives of podcasts, audio podcasts, and show notes/transcripts PDF files

<https://resetbook.com/PodcastArchives.htm>

Blog (weblog)— ‘Call to RESET’(mix of YouTube video podcasts & Rumble video podcasts, Audio podcasts and PDF files of Show Notes and Transcripts:

<https://calltoreset.wordpress.com/>

Brighteon Social @Dahni: <https://brighteon.social/>

Clout Hub @Dahni: <https://clouthub.com>

Gab @Dahni1: <https://gab.com>

Me WE @dahni: <https://mewe.com>

Parler @Dahni1: <https://parler.com>

There are others (Signal, Telegram and etc. encrypted)

YouTube Video Podcasts (FREE subscription) search for Dahni 1 or Dahni Hayden:

<https://youtube.com/dahni1>

Rumble Video channel— 'Call to RESET': <https://rumble.com/c/c-669143>

There are other video podcasts, Vimeo etc— Coming Soon.

Audio Podcasts Dahni Hayden: <https://anchor.fm/dahni-hayden>

with the 'Spotify' app. you can download and listen offline

Email: [dahni1@gmail](mailto:dahni1@gmail)

There are others, non-encrypted and encrypted eMail.

Regular Mail:

Dahni

2591 Wiedrick Rd.

Walworth, NY 14568

Fax and Phone available: email first with your inquiry — [dahni1@gmail.com](mailto:dahni1@gmail.com)

